	Application No.	Applicant	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/675,976	SATO ET	AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723		
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Ernest G. Therkorn</u> .	(3)	•		
(2) Arthur R. Crawford.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 11 August 2006	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		· · ·	
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: None			; ·	
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.			;	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The examiner proposed cancelling claims 5 and 6 to place the cancellation by examiner's amendment.	GENERAL NATURE OF WH ce the case in condition for allow	AT WAS DISCUSS ance. Arthur R. Craw	ED: ford then authorized	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the Notice of Allowability. 	on. The examiner will provid- parate record of the substance	e a written summary e of the interview, s	y of the substance	
	any ary and examiner upp	TELS III I GIVII GEOVE	·· .	
·				
	•			
			*	
Prot 6 thaton			:	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				